

August 2, 2006

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that the application contained claims directed to the following patentably distinct inventions: Group (I) claims 1-12, drawn to an RFID device with detail structure; Group (II) claims 13-17, drawn to a process for the manufacture of RFID devices involving manufacturing steps/processes not claimed/inherent for Group I; or Group (III) claims 18-21, drawn to a process for the manufacture of RFID devices involving process details not found in Group I or Group II, and required applicant to elect one species for further prosecution.

Election of Species in Response to Restriction Requirement

Applicant elects, without traverse, the Group I claims, that is, claims 1-12, drawn to an RFID device with detail structure, for further prosecution on the merits.

Conclusion

Applicant has addressed the Examiner's requirement for restriction herein. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner issue an early Notice of Allowance. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 19-5425.

Respectfully submitted,
Robert Detig

By /Mark D. Simpson/
Mark D. Simpson, Reg. No. 32,942
Attorney for Applicant

Synnestvedt, Lechner and Woodbridge LLP
P. O. Box 592
Princeton, NJ 08542-0592
Tel. (609) 924-3773
Fax (609) 924-1811